

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/929,147	KUEHNEL, ANDREAS H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam Y T. Truong	2162	

All Participants:

(1) Cam Y T. Truong.

(2) Johnathan M. Harris (Attorney).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

All independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about a potential amendment for allowance. Applicant representative agreed on Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an examiner's amendment..

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)